STATE OF ILLINOIS

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

CONSUMERS ILLINOIS WATER

COMPANY

NO: 00-0339

Proposed general increase in water and sewer rates.

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

MARK NIEDENTHAL

on behalf of

DEVRO-TEEPAK, INC.

JUL 10 8 53 AH '00 CHIEF CLERK'S OFFICE

John P. Meyer Law Offices of John P. Meyer P. O. Box 774 Danville, IL 61832 217/443-0304

Christopher P. Meyer Dukes, Ryan, Meyer, Johnson & Freed 146 N. Vermilion Street Danville, IL 61832 217/442-0384

 $(1,1,2,2,\ldots)$

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MARK NIEDENTHAL BEFORE THE ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION CONSUMERS ILLINOIS WATER COMPANY DOCKET NO. 00-0339

- Q. Please state your name and address.
- A. My name is Mark Niedenthal and my business address is 915

 N. Michigan Avenue, Danville, IL 61832.
- Q. By whom are you employed?
- A. I am employed by Devro-Teepak, Inc.
- Q. What is your position with Devro-Teepak, Inc.?
- A. My position at Devro-Teepak is Cellulose Plant Support

 Engineer with responsibilities mainly in the Utilities

 area.
- Q. What is your educational and work experience background?
- A. My educational background includes a Bachelors Degree in Mechanical Engineering which I received in 1976 from Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology in Terre Haute, Indiana. I have also taken numerous specific short courses to benefit me in my project work at Devro-Teepak.

 I have been a member of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers since my college days. Since 1980
 I have been a member of the Danville Engineers Club and have served in all the offices.
 - My professional career began at FMC in 1976 designing and field testing specialized harvest equipment for the vegetable packing industry.
- Q. What has been your work experience with Devro-Teepak?

In October, 1979, I was hired by Devro-Teepak, then A. Teepak, to work in the R&D Department on shirring (finishing) equipment. In 1982 I became part of the Maintenance Supervision staff in the Shirring Department and worked with Maintenance, Development and Plant Support in Shirring for several years. In the fall of 1990 I was assigned duties as the Plant Utilities Engineer. Since that time I have been in charge of projects involving steam boilers, condensate recovery systems, water softeners, electric and steam driven chillers, cooling towers, air compressors of various types, compressed air delivery systems, fire protection and alarm systems, air handling unites, heat exchangers, steam heated process dryers, asbestos removal, electrical service, heat recovery and energy management in various forms. I routinely monitor the performance of the boilers and schedules of the plant in order to make proper gas nominations to the broker, pipeline and local distribution company. I have also functioned as the contact person for the various utilities as well as our insurance carriers and inspectors. My duties also include responsibility for the contractor safety program.

Also, as part of my utilities responsibilities, I review the gas, water and electric bills monthly and compare them to the internally collected data and overlapping

- vendor reports. I have been involved in recent negotiations for gas and electric supply to our Danville Plant.
- Q. Are you familiar with the issues concerning supply of water to the Danville Plant?
- A. I am very familiar with the issues concerning supply of water to the Danville Plant and have been heavily involved with the development of those costs. I personally commissioned Mr. Art Berg to develop costs for installation of a pipeline from 333 E. Fairchild to 915 N. Michigan based on current local material and labor costs. I also commissioned Mr. Bruce Baughman of the Hennemn, Raufeisen & Associates to investigate the cost of installing the pumping and treatment facility and the operating costs associated with it. Electrical costs are based on our current firm contract with Illinois Power.
- Q. Have you prepared an analysis of the costs projected for installing and maintaining water wells on Devro-Teepak property for supplying water to the Danville Plant?
- A. Yes. I have prepared such an analysis which is contained in Devro-Teepak Exhibit 1A.
- Q. I now show you a document consisting of 4 pages and marked for identification as Devro-Teepak Exhibit 1A and ask if you prepared this document and how it was prepared.
- A. Yes, I did. In the last general rate increase case filed

by Consumers Illinois Water Company, I presented such a financial analysis and I used it as my guide by updating it for presentation in this docket with the information provided by the consultants mentioned previously.

After all this, I put together my Exhibit 1A which includes the capital project economic analysis prepared by Buranapong Linwong, Corporate Controller of Devro-Teepak.

- Q. Would you briefly summarize the result contained by your development of Exhibit 1A?
- A. Exhibit 1A clearly indicates that a 2.5% water rate increase would yield to Devro-Teepak a return on investment of their proposed well water system of 17.6% with a 7.6 year payback.
- Q, Does this conclude your testimony?
- A. Yes, it does. I will, of course, answer any questions anyone has regarding Exhibit 1A.

Devro-Teepak Exibit 1A

CAPITAL PROJECT ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

(pg 1 of 4)

17-Mar-00 02:10 PM

-			141-	82	18/		Plant
- 43	HNVI	пе	VVE	16	YYAI	er	Piant

CPA # Engr Est.															(in \$000's
COSTS V BENEFITS ANALYSIS	Qty	\$/Unit/Year	Esci/Year	2001	2002	2003	2004	2006	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	<u> 2011</u>	Tota
Added Electricity - kws	867,240	\$0.0395	0,0%	(\$34)	(\$34)	(\$33)	(\$33)	(\$33)	(\$33)	(\$33)	(\$33)	(\$33)	(\$33)	(\$33)	(\$365
Added Maintenance Base -	,	2% - 5%	of invest	(29)	(29)	(29)	(36)	(44)	(51)	(58)	(65)	(73)	(73)	(73)	(560
Organic Coagulants		\$4,500	3.0%	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(58
Sodium HypoChlorite		\$14,688	3.0%	(15)	(15)	(16)	(16)	(17)	(17)	(18)	(18)	(19)	(19)	(20)	(188
Carbon Filter Bed Replacement		\$17,100	3.0%	o	o o	o i	0	(19)	(20)	(20)	(21)	(22)	(22)	(23)	(147
Sand Filter Bed Replacement		\$6,970	3.0%	0	0	0	O	. 0	(8)	(8)	(9)	. 0	G	0	(25
Depreciation				(229)	(412)	(330)	(264)	(211)	(169)	(135)	(135)	(135)	(135)	(135)	(2,289
Savings: @ Curr Rate	420,000	\$1,0500	0.0%	441	441	441	441	441	441	441	441	441	441	441	4,851
Savings of Proposed Increase	• •	2.5%		0	11	11	22	22	34	34	46	46	58	58	342
Project Expenses				0				-							C
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,															
Pretax Income (Expenses)				\$130	(\$43)	\$40	\$109	\$135	\$172	\$197	\$200	\$200	\$211	\$209	\$1,561
Income Taxes		@	38.0%	(49)	16	(15)	(42)	(51)	(65)	(75)	(76)	(76)	(80)	(80)	(593
			ŀ												
Net Income (Expenses)			Ĺ	\$80	(\$27)	\$25	\$68	\$84	\$107	\$122	\$124	\$124	\$131	\$130	\$968

CASHFLOW ANALYSIS			2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total
Initial Investment - Land			(\$65)				71							(\$65)
Additional Capital Investment			(2,289)	0	. 0	. 0	Q	0	0	0	0	0	0	(2,289)
Capital Premium/ITC			0	0	,o	0	0	0	Q	0	0	0	0	O
Depreciation		1	229	412	330	264	211	169	135	135	135	135	135	2,289
Tax Effected Residual Value		\$1,065			Fradein Value	- TaxRate	(Tradein - (U	Inrecovered	Fixed Asset)	}]	•		659	659
Net Income (Expenses)		*.,	80	(27)	25	68	84	107	122	124	124	131	130	968
					*****	*****		****	*****	****	****		****	** 500
Total Net Cash Flow			(\$2,045)	\$385 	\$354 ======	\$332 =====	\$295	\$276	\$257 =====	\$259 =====	\$259 =====	\$266 ======	\$924 *****	\$1,562
Accumulated Cash Flow		}	(\$2,045)	(\$1,660)	(\$1,305)	(\$974)	(\$679)	(\$404)	(\$146)	\$113	\$372	\$638	\$1,562	Ì
Years to Payback	(months in 1st year =	12)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0,6	0.0	0.0	0.0	

INDICATORS			
DCF-IRR =		10.9%	
Pretax Return on Investment		17.6%	
Payback - (cash flow basis)		7.6	years
NPV @Pretax Interest	9.50%	\$525 M	

			A		The second							
ADDITIONAL INVESTMENT	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total
Wells & Pumps Water Main 10" - 14,500 ft Backflow Prevention Well Water Treatment Station Contingency 10.0%	\$282 707 57 1,036 208											\$282 707 57 1,035 208
Total Additional Capital Investment Project Expenses	\$2,289 0	\$0 0	\$0 0									\$2,289 0
Total Additional Investment	\$2,289	\$0	\$0			•						\$2,289

A. Land Acquisition

B. Water Wells

Done in 1989

Well pump house

Electrical

Head piping and valves

Two 120 ft. wells and well pumps

Subtotal

Subtotal

Devro-Teepak Exibit 1A

(pg 2 of 4)

17-Mar-00

Capital and Operating Estimates Devro-Teepak Proposed Well Water Plant

02:06 PM Engr 2000 Est Capital Costs \$65,000 f \$190,000 54,000 f 21,100 16,600 \$281,700 \$206,642 30,458 f 109,358 f 210,896

C. Water Main to Plant - 10" Pipeline 14,500 ft Class 51 ductile iron pipe, fittings distribution line, and polywrap Gravel bedding Street, bridge and railroad crossing Pipeline installation Cleanup, flushing, testing and sterilization 68,488 f Engineer design and drafting 46,800 f Surveying, permits, insurance, and railroad 34,280 f inspection/protection

> Subtotal \$706,922

D. Backflow prevention valve and structure

\$38,327 f Building Valves and meters 10,056 Engineering design 8,200 f \$56,583

E. Well Water Treatment Station

Flow 800 gpm design/1200 gpm Max Pressure sand and activated carbon filters, oxidation tank, blower, interconnecting piping, control panel, instrumentation, and filter media \$642,050 Pre engineered building and foundation (70'x40x20) 155,000 f 156,600 Equipment installation and start up Engineering, design, and drafting 82,415 f

> Subtotal \$1,036,065

F. Contingency 10.0% \$208,100 f

> Estimated Total Installation Costs \$2,354,370 =======

(pg 3 of 4)

17-Mar-00 02:06 PM

Devro-Teepak Exibit 1A
Capital and Operating Estimates
Devro-Teepak Proposed Well Water Plant

	Carbon		•			Sand	Waste Water	
<u>Year</u>	filter bed	<u>Maint.</u>	Org Coag	<u>Na Hypochl</u>	Electricity	<u>filter bed</u>	<u>Treatment</u>	Total
Construction 8	& start up			1 - d				
2001	\$0	\$29,079	\$4,500	\$14,688	\$34,256	, \$0	\$1,486	\$84,009
2002	0	29,079	4,635	15,129	34,256	0	1,531	84,629
2003	0	29,079	4,774	15,582	32,955	0	1,576	83,967
2004	0	36,349	4,917	16,050	32,955	0	1,624	91,895
2005	19,246	43,618	5,065	16,531	32,955	0	1,673	119,088
2006	19,824	50,888	5,217	17,027	32,955	8,080	1,723	135,714
2007	20,418	58,158	5,373	17,538	32,955	8,323	1,774	144,540
2008	21,031	65,427	5,534	18,064	32,955	8,572	1,828	153,412
2009	21,662	72,697	5,700	18,606	32,955	0	1,882	153,503
2010	22,312	72,697	5,871	19,165	32,955	0	1,939	154,939
2011	22,981	72,697	6,048	19,739	32,955	0	1,997	156,417

2.5%

3.0%

2009

2010

5.0%

5.0%

Projected operating cost inflation of all items	3.0%	per annum			
Maintenance Base* - mechanical installed e				\$1,453,944	•
*Maintenance base = total installation of	\$2,354,370	minus items mai	rked with "f" a	and contingenc	y.
Progressive percentage by year	2001	2.0%	2006	3.5%	
, 103.000 - Paraning, 1	2002	2.0%	2007	4.0%	
	2003	2.0%	2008	4.5%	

2004 2005

Devro-Teepak Exibit 1A

(pg 4 of 4) 17-Mar-00 02:25 PM

Estimated Annual Operating & Maintenance Cost Devro-Teepak Proposed Well Water Plant

Operating Costs

Energy 99 kws x 8760 hr/yr X \$.0395/kwh (a)

\$34,256

Maintenance (see progressive estimate)

see Exhibit 1A pg 3 of 4

Chemical & Supplies

Organic coagulants \$1.50/lb. x 3,000 lbs/yr

\$4,500

Na HypoChlorite \$.08/lbs x 183,600 lbs/yr

\$14,688

Carbon filter media replacement (b)

Carbon per unit (5 units)
Remove, replace, dispose

\$12,000

<u>5,100</u>

Total costs per unit

\$17,100

Replace one unit after fourth year operation, and one unit per year there after (five units).

Sand filter media replacement (b)

Sand per unit (3 units)
Remove, replace, dispose

\$3,170

3,800

Total costs per unit

\$6,970

Replace one unit after fifth year operation, and one unit per year thereafter (three units).

- a. Electrical costs based on current firm contract with Illinois Power Co.
- b. Estimated by Bruce Baughman, Henneman Rauffeisen & Assoc.